

MINI REVIEW

Cone beam computed tomography use in endodontics: A current overview

Navendra Jha and Fatima Injela Khan

Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Institute of Dental Sciences, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Given its adverse consequences for personal satisfaction, endodontic infection should be analyzed and treated as quickly as time permits. Radiology is fundamental for disease checking, treatment arranging, and treatment viability appraisal. Cone shaft registered tomography (CBCT), a symptomatic imaging methodology, presents a three-layered (3D) picture of the bony parts of the maxillofacial skeleton. Frameworks that can give low portion, limited field of view pictures with adequate spatial goal for endodontic finding, treatment arranging, and post-treatment evaluation are accessible for processed tomography (CBCT). By acquiring a more profound handle of the life systems under assessment, the doctor can recognize endodontic disorder all the more precisely and plan medicines all the more successfully. This paper will likely audit late examination on CBCT's endodontic purposes.

KEYWORDS

Radiology; Cone beam computed tomography; 3-Dimensional; Endodontics

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 5 July 2024,
Revised 26 July 2024,
Accepted 02 August 2024

Introduction

Radiography is fundamental in endodontics for analysis, treatment arranging and execution, and treatment adequacy assessment [1]. Since its origin, ordinary radiography has kept on being the principal imaging strategy in endodontics. Be that as it may, with contrasting levels of progress, a few dentistry claims to fame have attempted to utilize clinical imaging leap forwards in ongoing years [2]. Since standard radiography just gives a two-layered perspective on the life systems, the administrator should do rehashed X-beams with various projections in various cases to give a far-reaching show of the teeth and encompassing tissues [3].

The locale of interest might be obstructed by specific physical designs, which makes radiological picture understanding troublesome. In this manner, there are circumstances in which typical radiography in routine clinical practice doesn't uncover satisfactory insights concerning physical states of the designs, positional relations, and neurotic states [4]. Subsequently, ordinary radiography stays the business standard imaging procedure in spite of its inborn deficiencies. Notwithstanding, while evaluating the exceptional construction of the maxillofacial skeleton, CBCT has uncovered the restrictions of regular radiography. As well as giving a 3D assessment of the locale of interest, the CBCT in endodontics offers a careful assessment of the tooth and encompassing alveolar bone. Subsequently, CBCT can be a valuable instrument for endodontic conclusion, arranging, and supervision [5]. The standards of CBCT will be stressed in this survey, alongside the purposes of this imaging innovation in endodontic practice.

Limitations of Conventional Radiographic Imaging

Customary radiography packs three-layered structures into a two-layered picture. The radiograph's mesiodistal plane makes the life systems apparent for assessment, however its third aspect - the buccolingual one - considers just a restricted perception of the structures [6]. Anatomical intricacy, conditions influencing

the oral hard tissues, such resorption, and functional methodology deficiencies probably won't be grasped in that frame of mind of more exact imaging. Accordingly, demonstrative execution is compromised [7]. Anatomical intricacy, conditions influencing the oral hard tissues, such resorption, and functional method shortcomings probably won't be grasped in that frame of mind of more exact imaging. The radiodensity of these physical obstructions, now and again alluded to as physical commotion, might vary [8].

Cone Beam Computed Tomography

CBCT is a contemporary, three-layered demonstrative imaging strategy planned explicitly for use on the craniofacial bones [9]. In the last part of the 1990s, two unmistakable Italian and Japanese gatherings fostered a novel tomographic scanner called "CBCT" or "computerized volume tomography" (DVT) determined to utilize it to maxillofacial and dental medicines. Since CBCT has a lower radiation portion than CT, it has been utilized in the fields of oral and maxillofacial medical procedure, implantology, endodontics, orthodontics, periodontics, and temporomandibular messes (TMD) [10]. The CBCT equipment comprises of a X-beam source and locator, or sensor, mounted on a rotating gantry. CBCT is completed utilizing a turning gantry that has a X-beam source and locator joined. A region X-beam identifier is focused on a wandering wellspring of ionizing radiation, which might appear as a pyramid or cone, by means of the focal point of the locale of interest on the contrary side of the patient [11]. Inside the district of interest, the X-beam source and indicator spin around a fixed support (return on initial capital investment). Numerous planar projection pictures with a field of perspective on no less than 180° are caught during the openness grouping. With CBCT, 3D radiography pictures are clear, almost momentary, and precise [12]. Reconstructed CBCT pictures can be shown in more ways than one. To give the clinician a genuinely three-layered picture of the area of

interest, it is common practice to show the photographs of the locale of interest in every one of the three symmetrical planes (pivotal, sagittal, and coronal) [13].

Classification of CBCT

CBCT frameworks are most grouped in light of the components of the sweep volume, or FOV, which are for the not set in stone by the size and type of the locator, the math of the bar projection, and the ability to collimate the beam [14]. As per the picked or open output volume level, the accompanying classifications may be applied to the utilization of units: Otherwise called zeroed in, little field, restricted field or restricted volume, single curve, little volume, or limited locale; The FOV level of CBCT examines goes from 5-7 cm inside one curve, Interarch; it goes from 7-10 cm, Maxillofacial; it goes from 10-15 cm and Craniofacial; moreover, CBCT checks have a FOV level bigger than 15 cm [15]. Small volume due to their diminished field of view (FOV), CBCT scanners are obviously appropriate for use in endodontics [14].

Effective dose of CBCT

The radiation result of a specific CBCT framework is subject to a few variables. The sort of X-beam shaft, including whether it is nonstop or pulsatile, the field of view (FOV) size, and the level of revolution of the X-beam source and locator will all influence the radiation portion. The powerful not entirely settled by considering both the radiation dose created by the imaging gadget and the radiation awareness of the tissues that the X-beam shaft goes through all through the openness grouping. Since there are very few data of interest, powerful portion is typically communicated in miniature Sieverts (Sv) [16].

Advantages of CBCT

CBCT outperforms the impediments of ordinary radiography by creating 3D pictures that offer an exhaustive perception of the life systems and the spatial connections between the pathosis and physical parts. Since CBCT voxels are isotropic, they give mathematical exactness in the pictures that are produced and twisting free picture estimations in any plane [17]. Less ionizing radiation openness for patients and further developed picture quality for assessing oral hard tissues and bone are the principal benefits of CBCT over CT. Additionally, the X-beam source can be collimated to focus the radiation simply in the designated region. The primary benefit of CBCT in endodontics is the ability to notice physical subtleties in three aspects. CBCT units reproduce the projection information to create pictures in the hub, sagittal, and coronal planes [18].

Applications of CBCT in endodontics

Endodontic CBCT imaging requires extraordinarily high detail and goal to totally catch the moment attributes of the periodontium and root waterway framework. Restricted FOV CBCT outputs ought to just be utilized for endodontic determination and treatment. How much uncovered tissue and, subsequently, the successful radiation portion are diminished with a little field of view (FOV) examine; in any case, this has the advantage of bringing down dissipate, which further develops picture quality [19].

Detection of Apical Periodontitis

The most widely recognized pathologic circumstances influencing teeth are fiery sores of the mash and periapical regions. This implies that with regards to identifying apical

periodontitis in people, CBCT is definitely more delicate and exact than customary radiography. It can likewise uncover the debasement of periapical bone welcomed on by endodontic disease before regular radiographs even indicate it [20]. CBCT recognized the periapical sores 62% more habitually than traditional radiography, and, surprisingly, its parallax sees assessed the subject teeth.

A critical number of extra perceptions were likewise made by CBCT, including the development of sores into the maxillary sinus, thickness of the sinus layer, and the shortfall of channels. Moreover, unmistakable cancellous and cortical bone oddities should be visible on CBCT [21]. Mama L et al. evaluated the value of periapical radiography in identifying sores of apical periodontitis (AP) of back teeth utilizing CBCT imaging as the highest quality level. Utilizing CBCT and periapical radiography, the 156 back teeth of 80 patients were analyzed. Of these, 58 were healthy, 11 had persistent pulpitis, 34 had ongoing apical periodontitis, and the leftover teeth (3 teeth with root trench treatment) showed ordinary apical imaging. Of 106 patients, AP was found by radiography in 39.6% of cases and by CBCT in 59.4% of cases; this distinction was measurably critical (P 0.01) [20].

Assessment of Potential Surgical Sites

CBCT is a very helpful method for careful endodontic treatment arranging. The spatial connection between the tooth root(s) that are by and large precisely taken out (as well as the subsequent bone misfortune) and the adjoining physical designs, for example, the maxillary sinuses, the standard oral nerve trench, and the psychological foramen, can be firmly analyzed. Both the presence or nonappearance of the maxillary sinus between the roots and the distance of the cortical plate from the palatal root peak might be shown and assessed.

Ringolone M et al. inspected physical anticipating apicoectomy utilizing the vestibular methodology. The vestibular cortex and root summit were 9.73 mm separated by and large. In 25% of cases, the maxillary sinus recessus was seen between the palatine and vestibular roots. For vestibular access palatine root apicoectomy, CT might be fundamental for accuracy and forestalling complications [22].

Assessment of Traumatic Dental Injuries

For deciding the sort and seriousness of horrendous oral harm, CBCT is a useful procedure. The writing enjoys underlined the benefits of CBCT for the determination and the executives of dentoalveolar injury. Besides, it has been shown that with regards to diagnosing flat root breaks, CBCT is undeniably more delicate than a few periapical radiographs. Besides, CBCT is an extraoral imaging methodology that makes patients happier with during the imaging process [23]. After a customary test couldn't convincingly portray the dental injury harm for a situation of sidelong luxation of the maxillary focal incisor, Soares PB et al. utilized another CBCT remaking program (e-Vol DX) to affirm the luxation [24].

Detection of Different Types of Root Resorption

Dental luxation and separation injuries at times bring about outer root resorption (Blunder). Since ordinary radiography is fundamentally less delicate than CBCT in recognizing Fail in its beginning phases, serious hard tissue harm to the affected tooth might have previously happened before the resorption

becomes noticeable on regular radiographs. Nowadays, CBCT is generally used to survey the anticipation for the affected tooth as well as the seriousness of specific sorts of ERR [25]. Durack C et al. directed a review to evaluate the capacity of computerized intraoral radiography (DIOR) and CBCT to distinguish mimicked outer provocative root resorption sores in an ex vivo model. The capacity to perceive mimicked EIR was steady for little volume CBCT, whether or not 180° or 360° sweeps were led. Analysts had the option to find the phony resorption injuries with far more noteworthy exactness with CBCT than they could utilizing PRs (P 0.001) [26].

Assessment of Root Canal Anatomy and Morphology

For endodontic treatment to be compelling, each open part of the root trench framework should be distinguished, cleaned, molded, and obturated. The chance of fostering the above variations is diminished when preoperative admittance to this data is accessible, as CBCT is a dependable device for precisely deciding the level of shapes related with tooth roots. Besides, when endodontic treatment is expected for teeth with physical and morphological irregularities, for example, lairs invaginates and tooth combination, it has been shown that CBCT is a compelling indicative and treatment arranging tool [26]. Ozcan G et al. done a review to find out on the off chance that CBCT might be used to evaluate the variety in the amount and type of the root channels of the essential molars. Every one of the four gatherings' essential molars had various quantities of roots and root trenches. The maxillary molars had more one-channel roots than two-trench roots [27].

Detection of Vertical Root Fracture

Despite the fact that root cracks represent just 7% of dental wounds and are more uncommon than crown breaks, they can be hard to determine precisely to have ordinary radiography. Concentrates on show that CBCT is better than standard radiography as far as precision with regards to recognizing vertical root cracks (VRF). Yet, it's memorable critical that disperse from the root filling or other high-thickness interradicular materials could erroneously demonstrate the presence of a break while assessing pull filled teeth for VRF with CBCT. Hasan et al. explored the viability of CBCT examines and PRs in identifying VRFs. The outcomes showed that CBCT checks were by and large more precise (0.86) than PRs (0.66) at recognizing VRF [28].

Conclusions

Specialists can in any case profit from the high-goal, effectively available, and estimated imaging methodology that ordinary intraoral radiography accommodates endodontic treatment. Regardless, there are sure unique situations when the information on spatial relationships provided by CBCT impacts the finding and treatment, both when medical procedure. These days, it is difficult to question the convenience of CBCT imaging. CBCT is a significant undertaking explicit imaging methodology and a fundamental device in an extensive endodontic assessment.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

1. Setzer FC, Lee SM. Radiology in endodontics. *Dent Clin*. 2021;65(3):475-486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cden.2021.02.004>
2. Honda E, Prince JL, Fontanella VR. State-of-the-art digital imaging

- in dentistry: advanced research of MRI, CT, CBCT, and digital intraoral imaging. *Biomed Res Int*. 2018;9057120. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9057120>
3. Ghali SR, Katti G, Shahbaz S, Katti C. Cone beam computed tomography: A boon for maxillofacial imaging. *J Indian Acad Oral Med Radiol*. 2017;29(1):30-34. https://doi.org/10.4103/jiaomr.JIAOMR_89_16
4. Flis PS, Vyshemyrska TA. Cone beam computed tomography as an additional method of examination in orthodontic patients. *Ukrainian Dental Almanac*. 2022;30(3):25-29. <https://doi.org/10.31718/2409-0255.3.2022.05>
5. Patel S, Durack C, Abella F, Shemesh H, Roig M, Lemberg K. Cone beam computed tomography in endodontics—a review. *Int Endod J*. 2015;48(1):3-15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.12270>
6. Durack C, Patel S, Davies J, Wilson R, Mannocci F. Diagnostic accuracy of small volume cone beam computed tomography and intraoral periapical radiography for the detection of simulated external inflammatory root resorption. *Int Endod J*. 2011;44(2):136-147. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2010.01819.x>
7. Silva JA, Alencar AH, Rocha SS, Lopes LG, Estrela C. Three-dimensional image contribution for evaluation of operative procedural errors in endodontic therapy and dental implants. *Braz Dent J*. 2012;23:127-134. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-64402012000200007>
8. Olsson L, Nilsson M, Svenson B, Hellén-Halme K. The effect of anatomical noise on perception of low contrast in intra-oral radiographs: an in vitro study. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol*. 2016;45(4). <https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr.20150402>
9. Patel S, Brown J, Pimentel T, Kelly RD, Abella F, Durack C. Cone beam computed tomography in Endodontics—a review of the literature. *Int Endod J*. 2019;52(8):1138-1152. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.13115>
10. Weiss R, Read-Fuller A. Cone beam computed tomography in oral and maxillofacial surgery: an evidence-based review. *Dent J*. 2019;7(2):52. <https://doi.org/10.3390/dj7020052>
11. Pearson E, Pan X, Pelizzari C. Dynamic intensity-weighted region of interest imaging for conebeam CT. *J X-Ray Sci Technol*. 2016;24(3):361-377. <https://doi.org/10.3233/XST-160550>
12. Belotti G, Rit S, Baroni G. Extension of the cone-beam CT field-of-view using two short scans with displaced centers of rotation. In 7th International Conference on Image Formation in X-Ray Computed Tomography. SPIE. 2022;81-86. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2646384>
13. Alshammery FA. Three dimensional (3D) imaging techniques in orthodontics-An update. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2020;9(6):2626-2630. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_64_20
14. Abella M, Martinez C, Garcia I, Moreno P, De Molina C, Desco M. Tolerance to geometrical inaccuracies in CBCT systems: a comprehensive study. *Med Phys*. 2021;48(10):6007-6019. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.15065>
15. Lopes IA, Tucunduva RM, Handem RH, Capelozza AL. Study of the frequency and location of incidental findings of the maxillofacial region in different fields of view in CBCT scans. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol*. 2017;46(1):20160215. <https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr.20160215>
16. Qiang W, Qiang F, Lin L. Estimation of effective dose of dental X-ray devices. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*. 2019;183(4):418-422. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ncy159>
17. Shemesh H, Cohenca N. Clinical applications of cone beam computed tomography in endodontics: a comprehensive review. *Quintessence Int*. 2015;46:657-668.
18. Boquete-Castro A, Lopez AP, Martins AS, Lorenzo AS, Perez PR. Applications and advantages of the use of cone-beam computed tomography in endodontics: An updated literature review. *Saudi Endod J*. 2022;12(2):168-174. https://doi.org/10.4103/sej.sej_167_21
19. Spin-Neto R, Matzen LH, Schropp L, Gotfredsen E, Wenzel A. Factors affecting patient movement and re-exposure in cone beam computed tomography examination. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Radiol*. 2015;119(5):572-578.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.o000.2015.01.011>
20. Kanagasigam S, Lim CX, Yong CP, Mannocci F, Patel S. Diagnostic accuracy of periapical radiography and cone beam computed tomography in detecting apical periodontitis using histopathological findings as a reference standard. *Int Endod J*. 2017;50(5):417-426. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.12650>
 21. Ahmed J, Gupta A, Shenoy N, Sujir N, Muralidharan A. Prevalence of incidental maxillary sinus anomalies on CBCT scans: a radiographic study. *Diagnostics*. 2023;13(18):2918. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13182918>
 22. Ferrari CH, Abu Hasna A, Martinho FC. Three Dimensional mapping of the root apex: distances between apices and anatomical structures and external cortical plates. *Braz Oral Res*. 2021;35:e022. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1807-3107bor-2021.vol35.0022>
 23. Talwar S, Utneja S, Nawal RR, Kaushik A, Srivastava D, Oberoy SS. Role of cone-beam computed tomography in diagnosis of vertical root fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Endod*. 2016;42(1):12-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2015.09.012>
 24. Soares PB, Vilela AB, Moura CC, Estrela C, Bueno MR, Soares CJ. Lateral luxation of incisor-a case report of using a new cone-beam computed tomography software and reposition guideline. *Braz Dent J*. 2020;31:337-343. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-6440202003069>
 25. D'addazio PS, Campos CN, Özcan M, Teixeira HG, Passoni RM, Carvalho AC. A comparative study between cone-beam computed tomography and periapical radiographs in the diagnosis of simulated endodontic complications. *Int Endod J*. 2011;44(3):218-224. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2010.01802.x>
 26. Creanga AG, Geha H, Sankar V, Teixeira FB, McMahan CA, Noujeim M. Accuracy of digital periapical radiography and cone-beam computed tomography in detecting external root resorption. *Imaging Sci Dent*. 2015;45(3):153-158. <https://doi.org/10.5624/isd.2015.45.3.153>
 27. Ozcan G, Sekerci AE, Cantekin K, Aydinbelge M, Dogan S. Evaluation of root canal morphology of human primary molars by using CBCT and comprehensive review of the literature. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 2016;74(4):250-258. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00016357.2015.1104721>
 28. Ardakani FE, Razavi SH, Tabrizizadeh M. Diagnostic value of cone-beam computed tomography and periapical radiography in detection of vertical root fracture. *Iran Endod J*. 2015;10(2):122-126